arXiv:1212.1833v1 [math.RA] 8 Dec 2012

Computation of Bivariate Characteristic Polynomials of Finitely Generated Modules over Weyl Algebras

Christian Dönch
Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, Johannes Kepler University
A-4040 Linz, Austria

Alexander Levin
The Catholic University of America
Washington, D. C. 20064

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the classical Gröbner basis technique to prove the existence and present a method of computation of a characteristic polynomial in two variables associated with a finitely generated module over a Weyl algebra. We also present corresponding algorithms and examples of the computation of such polynomials, which, in particular, illustrate the fact that a bivariate characteristic polynomial can contain some invariants that are not carried by the Bernstein dimension polynomial. We also obtain a generalization of our results on to the case of multivariate characteristic polynomials associated with arbitrary partition of the basic sets of indeterminates and derivations of a Weyl algebra.

1 Introduction

The role of Hilbert polynomials in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry is well known. In [2] I. Bernstein introduced an analog of Hilbert polynomial for a finitely generated filtered module over a Weyl algebra and extended the theory of multiplicity to the class of such modules. The results of this study have found interesting analytical applications (many of them are considered in Björk's book [4]). In particular, they allowed I. Bernstein [3] to prove the Gelfand's conjecture on meromorphic extensions of functions $\Gamma_f(\lambda) = \int P^{\lambda}(x)f(x)dx$ of one complex variable λ defined in the half-space $Re(\lambda) > 0$ for any polynomial in n real variables $P(x) = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and for any function $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

In what follows we prove the existence, determine invariants and outline methods of computation of dimension polynomials in two variables associated with the natural bifiltration of a finitely generated module over a Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$. We show that such polynomials not only characterize the Bernstein class of left $A_n(K)$-modules, but also carry, in general, more invariants than dimension polynomials introduced by Bernstein.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper **Z**, **N** and **Q** denote the sets of all integers, all non-negative integers and all rational numbers, respectively. As usual, $\mathbf{Q}[t]$ denotes the ring of polynomials in one variable t with rational coefficients and $o(t^n)$ denotes a polynomial from $\mathbf{Q}[t]$ of degree less than n. By a ring we always mean an associative ring with a unit. Every ring homomorphism is unitary (maps unit onto unit), every subring of a ring contains the unit of the ring. Unless otherwise indicated, by the module over a ring R we mean a unitary left R-module.

In what follows we consider a Weyl algebra as an algebra of differential operators over a polynomial ring. More precisely, let K be a field of zero characteristic and $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a polynomial ring in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ over K. Furthermore, let ∂_i denote the operator of partial differentiation of the ring R with respect to the variable x_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ and let $A_n(K)$ denote the corresponding ring of differential operators over R. Then $A_n(K)$ is said to be a Weyl algebra in n variables with coefficients from K. It is clear that the K-algebra $A_n(K)$ is generated by the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, $\partial_i\partial_j = \partial_i\partial_j$ and $\partial_i x_j = x_j\partial_i$ for any two different indices i and j $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$, and $\partial_i x_i = x_i\partial_i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. (The last identity is a consequence of the product rule, if one considers actions of the operators $\partial_i x_i$ and $x_i\partial_i$ on the ring R: $(\partial_i x_i)(P) = \partial_i(x_i P) = (x_i\partial_i)(P) + P$ for any $P \in R$.)

In what follows, multi-indices with non-negative integers are denoted by small Greek letters. Thus, monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$ are written as x^α and ∂^β, their total degrees $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$ are denoted by $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$, respectively.

It is known (see [4, Chapter 1, Proposition 1.2]) that monomials $x^\alpha\partial^\beta$ $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^n)$ form a basis of $A_n(K)$ over the field K, so that every element $D \in A_n(K)$ can be written in a unique way as a finite sum $\sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta} k_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha\partial^\beta$ with the coefficients $k_{\alpha\beta} \in K$. The number $ord\, D = \max\{|\alpha| + |\beta| \,|\, k_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\}$ is called the order of the element D.

Since $ord\,(D_1D_2) = ord\, D_1 + ord\, D_2$ for any $D_1, D_2 \in A_n(K)$, the Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ can be considered as a filtered ring with the nondecreasing filtration $(W_r)_{r\in\mathbf{Z}}$ where $W_r = \{D \in A_n(K) | ord\, D \leq r\}$ for $r \in \mathbf{N}$ and $W_r = 0$, if $r < 0$.

If M is a finitely generated left $A_n(K)$-module with a system of generators $g_1, \dots, g_p$, then M can be naturally considered as a filtered $A_n(K)$-module with the filtration $(M_r)_{r\in\mathbf{Z}}$ where $M_r = \sum_{i=1}^p W_r g_i$ for $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. It is clear that each M_r is a finitely generated vector K-space, $W_r M_s = M_{r+s}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\bigcup_{r\in\mathbf{N}} M_r = M$.

The following statement is proved in [2] (see also [4, Chapter 1, Corollaries 3.3, 3.5, and Theorem 4.1]).

Proposition 2.1. *With the above notation, there exists a polynomial $\psi_M(t) \in \mathbf{Q}[t]$ with the following properties.*

(i) *$\psi_M(r) = dim_K M_r$ for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ (i. e., there exists $r_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that the last equality holds for all integers $r \geq r_0$);*

(ii) *$n \leq deg\,\psi(t) \leq 2n$;*

(iii) *If $\psi(t) = a_d t^d + \cdots + a_1 t + a_0$ ($a_d, \ldots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$), then the degree d of the polynomial $\psi(t)$ and the integer $d!a_d$ do not depend on the choice of the system of generators $g_1, \ldots, g_p$ of M. These numbers are denoted by $d(M)$ and $e(M)$, they are called the Bernstein dimension and multiplicity of the module M, respectively.* $\square$

The polynomial $\psi_M(t)$ is called the *Bernstein polynomial* of the $A_n(K)$-module M associated with the given system of generators. The family of all finitely generated left $A_n(K)$-modules M such that $d(M) = n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_n$, it is called the *Bernstein class* of $A_n(K)$-modules.

The following statement (see [4, Chapter 1, Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 5.4]) gives some properties of the Bernstein class.

Proposition 2.2. (i) *If $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of left $A_n(K)$-modules, then $M_2 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if $M_1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $M_3 \in \mathcal{B}_n$.*

(ii) *If $M \in \mathcal{B}_n$, then M has a finite length as a left $A_n(K)$-module. In fact, every strictly increasing sequence of $A_n(K)$-modules contains at most $e(M)$ terms.*

(iii) *If M is any filtered $A_n(K)$-module with an increasing filtration $(M_r)_{r\in\mathbf{Z}}$ and there exist positive integers a and b such that $dim_K M_r \leq ar^n + b(r+1)^{n-1}$ for all $r \in \mathbf{N}$, then $M \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $e(M) \leq n!a$.* $\square$

3 Numerical polynomials in two variables

Definition 3.1. *A polynomial $f(t_1, t_2)$ in two variables t_1 and t_2 with rational coefficients is called numerical if $f(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all sufficiently large $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$, i.e., there exists an element $(r_0, s_0) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ such that $f(r, s) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all integers $r \geq r_0$, $s \geq s_0$.*

It is clear that every polynomial in two variables with integer coefficients is numerical. As an example of a numerical polynomial in two variables with noninteger coefficients one can consider a polynomial $\binom{t_1}{m}\binom{t_2}{n}$, where m and n are positive integers at least one of which is greater than 1. (As usual, for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}, k \geq 1$, $\binom{t}{k}$ denotes the polynomial $\binom{t}{k} = \frac{t(t-1)\ldots(t-k+1)}{k!}$ in one variable t; furthermore, we set $\binom{t}{0} = 1$, and $\binom{t}{k} = 0$ if k is a negative integer).

By the degree of a monomial $u = t_1^i t_2^j$ we mean its total degree $deg\,u = i + j$, and the degrees of u relative to t_1 and t_2 are defined as $deg_{t_1} u = i$ and $deg_{t_2} u = j$, respectively. If $f(t_1, t_2) = a_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_k u_k$ is a representation of a numerical polynomial $f(t_1, t_2)$ as a sum of monomials $u_1, \dots, u_k$ with nonzero coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_k$, then the degree of $f(t_1, t_2)$ and the degree of this polynomial relative to t_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are defined as usual: $deg\, f = \max\{deg\, u_i | 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $deg_{t_i} f = \max\{deg_{t_i} u_i | 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, respectively.

The following proposition proved in [9] gives a "canonical" representation of a numerical polynomial in two variables.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f(t_1, t_2)$ be a numerical polynomial in two variables t_1, t_2, and let $deg_{t_1} f = p$, $deg_{t_2} f = q$. Then the polynomial $f(t_1, t_2)$ can be represented in the form*

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{ij} \binom{t_1 + i}{i} \binom{t_2 + j}{j} \tag{3.1}$$

with integer coefficients a_{ij} $(0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q)$ that are uniquely defined by the polynomial $f(t_1, t_2)$.

In what follows (until the end of the section), we deal with subsets of the set $\mathbf{N}^{m+n}$ where m and n are positive integers. If $A \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{m+n}$, then $A(r, s)$ $(r, s \in \mathbf{N})$ will denote the subset of A that consists of all $(m + n)$-tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+n})$ such that $a_1 + \dots + a_m \leq r$ and $a_{m+1} + \dots + a_{m+n} \leq s$. Furthermore, V_A will denote the set $\{v = (v_1, \dots, v_{m+n}) \in \mathbf{N}^{m+n} | v$ is not greater than or equal to any element of A with respect to the product order on $\mathbf{N}^{m+n}\}$. (Recall that the product order on the set $\mathbf{N}^k$ $(k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 1)$ is a partial order $\leq_P$ on $\mathbf{N}^k$ such that $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \leq_P (c'_1, \dots, c'_k)$ if and only if $c_i \leq c'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.) Clearly, an element $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{m+n}) \in \mathbf{N}^{m+n}$ belongs to V_A if and only if for any element $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+n}) \in A$ there exists $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq m + n$, such that $a_i > v_i$.

The following two statements proved in [10, Chapter II, Theorem 2.2.5 and Proposition 2.2.11] generalize the well-known Kolchin's result on numerical polynomials associated with subsets of $\mathbf{N}$ (see [8, Chapter 0, Lemma 17]) and give the explicit formula for the numerical polynomials in two variables associated with a finite subset of $\mathbf{N}^{m+n}$ (m and n are fixed positive integers).

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notation, for any set $A \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{m+n}$, there exists a numerical polynomial $\omega_A(t_1, t_2)$ in two variables t_1, t_p such that*

(i) *$\omega_A(r, s) = Card\, V_A(r, s)$ for all sufficiently large $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$ (as usual, $Card\, V$ denotes the number of elements of a finite set V);*

(ii) *$deg\, \omega \leq m + n$, $deg_{t_1} \omega \leq m$, and $deg_{t_2} \omega \leq n$;*

(iii) *$deg\, \omega = m + n$ if and only if the set A is empty, in this case* $\omega_A(t_1, t_2) = \binom{t_1 + m}{m} \binom{t_2 + n}{n}$*;*

(iv) *$\omega_A(t_1, t_2) = 0$ if and only if $(0, 0) \in A$.*

Definition 3.4. *The polynomial $\omega_A(t_1, \dots, t_p)$, whose existence is established by Proposition 3.3, is called the (m, n)-dimension polynomial of the set $A \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{m+n}$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ *be a finite subset of* $\mathbf{N}^{m+n}$ *(*m *and* n *are fixed positive integers) and let* $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i,m+n})$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, p$. *Furthermore, for any* $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \leq l \leq p$, *let* $\Gamma(l, p)$ *denote the set of all* l*-element subsets of the set* $\mathbf{N}_p = \{1, \dots, p\}$, *and for any* $\sigma \in \Gamma(l, p)$ *let* $\bar{a}_{\sigma j} = \max\{a_{ij} | i \in \sigma\}$ $(1 \leq j \leq m+n)$, $b_\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{a}_{\sigma j}$, *and* $c_\sigma = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \bar{a}_{\sigma j}$. *Then*

$$\omega_A(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{l=0}^{p} (-1)^l \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma(l,p)} \binom{t_1 + m - b_\sigma}{m} \binom{t_2 + n - c_\sigma}{n}.$$

Let $\mathbf{N}_p = \{1, \dots, p\}$ $(p \in \mathbf{Z}, p \geq 1)$ be the set of the first p positive integers and let $\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{N}_p$ be the cartesian product of n copies of $\mathbf{N}$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ and $\mathbf{N}_p$ considered as an ordered set with respect to the product order $\leq_P$ such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) \leq_P (a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b')$ if and only if $a_i \leq a'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $b \leq b'$. As usual, if $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) \leq_P (a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b')$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_m, b) \neq (a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b')$, we write $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) <_P (a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b')$.

In what follows, we will need the following result on the order $\leq_P$ whose proof can be found in [8, Chapter 0, Sect. 17]

Lemma 3.6. *Every infinite sequence of elements of* $\mathbf{N}^n \times \mathbf{N}_p$ *(*$n, p \in \mathbf{N}$, $p \geq 1$*) has an infinite subsequence, strictly increasing relative to the product order, in which every element has the same projection on* $\mathbf{N}_p$. $\square$

4 Reduction in a free $A_n(K)$-module. (x, ∂)-Gröbner bases

The efficiency of the classical Gröbner basis methods for the computation of Hilbert polynomials of graded and filtered modules over polynomial rings is well-known. (One of the best presentations of the appropriate results and algorithms can be found in [1, Chapter 9] and [6, Section 15.10].) Similarly, the generalization of the Gröbner basis technique to the rings of differential operators developed in [7] and [10, Chapter 4] allows to find dimension polynomials of finitely generated differential modules (see [10, Chapter 4, theorem 4.3.5]). In this section we will generalize the classical Gröbner reduction to the case when the set of terms of a Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ is considered together with two natural orderings. The results obtained allows to prove the existence and give a method of computation of characteristic polynomials in two variables associated with a finite system of generators of an $A_n(K)$-module.

In what follows, we keep the notation and conventions of Section 2. In particular, $A_n(K)$ denotes a Weyl algebra in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ over a field K of zero characteristic, and the appropriate partial differentiations are denoted by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, respectively. Furthermore, Θ will denote the set of all power products of the form $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$ with nonnegative integer exponents; such a power product will be called a *monomial* and denoted by $x^\alpha \partial^\beta$. (We use the standard notation with multi-indices: unless otherwise is indicated, α, β, etc. denote multi-indices $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, etc., x^α and ∂^β denote the monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$, respectively, $x^{\alpha+\gamma} = x_1^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n+\gamma_n}$, $\partial^{\beta+\sigma} = \partial_1^{\beta_1+\sigma_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n+\sigma_n}$, etc.)

If $\theta = x^\alpha \partial^\beta$, then the power products $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\partial^\beta = \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$ will be denoted by θ_x and θ_∂, respectively. It is easy to see that the sets $\Theta_x = \{\theta_x \,|\, \theta \in \Theta\}$ and $\Theta_\partial = \{\theta_\partial \,|\, \theta \in \Theta\}$ are commutative multiplicative semigroups (of course, Θ is not: $\partial_i x_i \neq x_i \partial_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$).

For any element $\theta = x^\alpha \partial^\beta \in \Theta$, the numbers $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$ will be called, respectively, the x-order and ∂-order of θ or the the orders of θ relative to the sets $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$, respectively. These numbers will be denoted, respectively, by $ord_x\theta$ and $ord_\partial\theta$. For any $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, the set of all $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $ord_x\theta \leq r$ and $ord_\partial\theta \leq s$ will be denoted by $\Theta(r, s)$.

If $D = \sum\limits_{\alpha,\beta} k_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha \partial^\beta \in A_n(K)$ (the sum is finite and $k_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^n$), then the x-order $ord_x D$ and ∂-order $ord_\partial D$ of D are defined, as follows: $ord_x D = \max\{|\alpha| \,|\, k_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\}$ and $ord_\partial D = \max\{|\beta| \,|\, k_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\}$. These notions allow one to consider the Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ as a bifiltered ring with the bifiltration $(W_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}}$ where $W_{rs} = \{D \in A_n(K) \,|\, ord_x D \leq r,\ ord_\partial D \leq s\}$ for any $(r, s) \in \mathbf{N}^2$ and $W_{rs} = 0$ for all $(r, s) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbf{N}^2$. Clearly, $\bigcup\{W_{rs} | r, s \in \mathbf{Z}\} = A_n(K)$, $W_{rs} \subseteq W_{r+1,s}$ and $W_{rs} \subseteq W_{r,s+1}$ for any $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, $W_{rs}W_{kl} \subseteq W_{r+k,s+l}$ for any $r, s, k, l \in \mathbf{Z}$ and the last inclusion becomes an equality if $r, s, k, l \in \mathbf{N}$.

We shall consider two orderings $<_x$ and $<_\partial$ of the set Θ defined as follows: if $\theta = x^\alpha\partial^\beta = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$ and $\theta' = x^\gamma \partial^\delta = x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} \partial_1^{\delta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\delta_n}$ are two elements of Θ, then $\theta <_x \theta'$ if and only if $(ord_x\theta, ord_\partial\theta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ is less that $(ord_x\theta', ord_\partial\theta', \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ with respect to the lexicographic order on $\mathbf{N}^{2n+2}$, and similarly $\theta <_\partial \theta'$ if and only if $(ord_\partial\theta, ord_x\theta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is less that $(ord_\partial\theta', ord_x\theta', \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ with respect to the lexicographic order on $\mathbf{N}^{2n+2}$.

Let $\theta = x^\alpha\partial^\beta = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$, $\theta' = x^\gamma\partial^\delta = x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n} \partial_1^{\delta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\delta_n} \in \Theta$. We say that θ divides θ' if x^α divides x^γ and ∂^β divides ∂^δ, that is, $\alpha_i \leq \gamma_i$ and $\beta_i \leq \delta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case we also say that θ' is a *multiple* of θ and write $\theta \,|\, \theta'$.

It is easy to see that if $\theta \,|\, \theta'$, then there exist elements $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k \in \Theta$ such that $\theta' = \theta_0\theta - \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i$ where $ord_x\theta_0 + ord_x\theta = ord_x\theta'$, $ord_\partial\theta_0 + ord_\partial\theta = ord_\partial\theta'$, and $ord_x\theta_i < ord_x\theta'$, $ord_\partial\theta_i < ord_\partial\theta'$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. In this case, we denote the monomial θ_0 by $\dfrac{\theta'}{\theta}$.

For example, if $n = 1$, then $\theta = x\partial^2$ divides $\theta' = x^2\partial^3$ and one can write $\theta' = \theta_0\theta - \theta_1$ where $\theta_0 = x\partial$ and $\theta_1 = x\partial^2$.

In what follows, by the *least common multiple* of two elements $\theta', \theta'' \in \Theta$ we mean the element $lcm(\theta', \theta'') = lcm(\theta'_x, \theta''_x)lcm(\theta'_\partial, \theta''_\partial)$. it is easy to see that if $\theta = lcm(\theta', \theta'')$, then $\theta'|\theta$, $\theta''|\theta$ and whenever $\theta'|\tau$, $\theta''|\tau$ for some $\tau \in \Theta$, one has $\theta|\tau$.

Let E be a finitely generated free $A_n(K)$-module with free generators $e_1, \dots, e_m$. Obviously, E can be considered as a vector K-space with the basis $\Theta e = \{\theta e_i | \theta \in \Theta, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ whose elements will be called *terms*. For any term θe_j ($\theta \in \Theta$, $1 \leq j \leq m$), we define the x-order $ord_x(\theta e_j)$ and ∂-order $ord_\partial(\theta e_j)$ of this term as the numbers $ord_x\theta$ and $ord_\partial\theta$, respectively. If $T \subseteq \Theta$, then the set $\{te_i \,|\, t \in T,\, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ will be denoted by Te in particular, for any $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{N}$, $\Theta(r_1, r_2)e$ will denote the set $\{\theta e_i \,|\, ord_x\theta \leq_x r_1,\, ord_\partial\theta \leq_\partial r_2,\, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Since the set of all terms Θe is a basis of the vector K-space E, every nonzero element $f \in E$ has a unique representation of the form

$$f = a_1\theta_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + a_s\theta_s e_{i_s} \tag{4. 1}$$

where $\theta_j \in\in \Theta$, $a_j \in K$, $a_j \neq 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq s)$ and the terms $\theta_1 e_{i_1}, \dots, \theta_s e_{i_s}$ are all distinct. We say that a term u *appears in* f (or that f *contains* u) if u is one of the terms $\theta_k e_{i_k}$ in the representation (5.1) (that is, the coefficient of u in f is not zero).

A term $u = \theta' e_i$ is said to be a *multiple* of a term $v = \theta e_j$ ($\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$) if $i = j$ and $\theta | \theta'$. In this case we also say that v divides u, write $v | u$ and set $\dfrac{u}{v} = \dfrac{\theta'}{\theta}$. The *least common multiple* of two terms $w_1 = \theta_1 e_i$ and $w_2 = \theta_2 e_j$ is defined as

$$lcm(w_1, w_2) = \begin{cases} lcm(\theta_1, \theta_2)e_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We shall consider two orderings of the set Θe that correspond to the orderings $<_x$ and $<_\partial$ of Θ. These orderings of Θe, which will be denoted by the same symbols $<_x$ and $<_\partial$, are defined as follows: if θe_i, $\theta' e_j \in \Theta e$, then $\theta e_i <_x \theta' e_j$ (respectively, $\theta e_i <_\partial \theta' e_j$) if and only if $\theta <_x \theta'$ (respectively, $\theta <_\partial \theta'$) or $\theta = \theta'$ and $i < j$.

Definition 4.1. *Let f be a nonzero element of E written in the form* (5.1). *Then the greatest with respect to $<_x$ term of the set $\{\theta_1 e_{i_1}, \dots, \theta_s e_{i_s}\}$ is called the x-leader of f while the greatest with respect to $<_\partial$ term of this set is called the ∂-leader of the element f. The x-leader and ∂-leader of f will be denoted by u_f and v_f, respectively. Furthermore, $lc_x(f)$ and $lc_\partial(f)$ will denote, respectively, the coefficients of u_f and v_f in representation* (4.1). (Of course, it is possible, that $u_f = v_f$ and therefore $lc_x(f) = lc_\partial(f)$.)

Definition 4.2. *Let $f, g \in E$, $g \neq 0$. We say that f is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to g if f does not contain any multiple θu_g of u_g $(\theta \in \Theta)$ such that $ord_\partial(\theta v_g) \leq ord_\partial v_f$. An element $f \in E$ is said to be (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to a set $G \subseteq E$ if f is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to every element of G.*

Let us consider a new symbol z and the free commutative semigroup T of all power products $\theta z = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \partial_1^{j_1} \dots \partial_n^{j_n} z^k$ ($\theta = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \partial_1^{j_1} \in \Theta$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$). Let $Te = T \times \{e_1, \dots, e_m\} = \{te_i \,|\, t \in T,\, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. We say that an element $te_i \in Te$ divides an element $t' e_j \in Te$ and write $te_i | t' e_j$ if and only if $i = j$ and

$t|t'$ in T (if $t = \theta_1 z^k$ and $t' = \theta_2 z^l$, where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$, then $t|t'$ means $\theta_1|\theta_2$ and $k \leq l$). Furthermore, for any $f \in E$, we set $d(f) = ord_\partial v_f - ord_\partial u_f$ and define the mapping ρ" $E \to Te$ by $\rho(f) = z^{d(f)} u_f$.

Definition 4.3. *With the above notation, let N be an $A_n(K)$-submodule of a free $A_n(K)$-module E with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. A finite set of nonzero elements $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ is called a (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of N if for any nonzero element $f \in N$, there exists $g_i \in G$ such that $\rho(g_i)|\rho(f)$.*

Since the condition $\rho(g_i)|\rho(f)$ implies that $u_{g_i}|u_f$, any (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of an $A_n(K)$-submodule N of E is a Gröbner basis of N with respect to the total order $<_x$ in the usual sense.

A finite set of nonzero elements $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\} \subseteq E$ is said to be a (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis if G is a (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of the $A_n(K)$-submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^{r} A_n(K) g_i$ of E.

Definition 4.4. *Given $f, g, h \in E$ with $g \neq 0$, we say that the element f (x, ∂)-reduces to h modulo g in one step and write $f \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{g} h$ if and only if f contains some term w with coefficient $a \neq 0$ such that $u_g|w$,*

$$h = f - a(lc_x(g))^{-1} \frac{w}{u_g} g, \text{ and } ord_\partial \left(\frac{w}{u_g} v_g \right) \leq ord_\partial v_f.$$

Definition 4.5. *Let $f, h \in E$ and let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a finite set of nonzero elements of E. We say that f is (x, ∂)-reduces to h modulo G and write $f \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{G} h$ if and only if there exist elements $g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots g^{(p)} \in G$ and $h^{(1)}, \dots, h^{(p-1)} \in E$ such that*

$$f \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{g^{(1)}} h^{(1)} \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{g^{(2)}} \dots \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{g^{(p-1)}} h^{(p-1)} \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{g^{(p)}} h.$$

Theorem 4.6. *With the above notation, let $f \in E$ and let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis in E. Then there exist elements $g \in E$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_r \in A_n(K)$ such that $f - g = \sum_{i=1}^{r} Q_i g_i$ and g is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to G.*

Proof. If f is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to G, the statement is obvious (one can set $g = f$). Suppose that f is not (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to G. Let $u_i = u_{g_i}$, $v_i = v_{g_i}$, and $a_i = lc_x(g_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. In what follows, a term w_h will be called a *G-leader* of an element $h \in E$ if w_h is the greatest with respect to $<_x$ term among all terms w with the following properties:

(i) w appears in h;

(ii) w is a multiple some u_i $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $ord_\partial \left(\frac{w}{u_i} v_i \right) \leq ord_\partial v_h$.

Let w_f be the G-leader of an element $f \in E$ and let c_f be the coefficient of w_f in representation (5.1) of f. Then $u_i|w$ for some i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $ord_\partial \left(\frac{w}{u_i} v_i \right) \leq$

$ord_\partial v_f$. Without loss of generality we can assume that i corresponds to the greatest with respect to $<_x$ x-leader u_i satisfying the above condition. Let $g'_i = g_i - lx_x(g_i)u_i$ and let $\dfrac{w_f}{u_i} = \theta$, so that $w_f = \theta u_i + S_i$ where S_i denotes a sum of terms of the form $\theta' e_k$ ($\theta' \in \Theta$, $1 \le k \le m$) such that $ord_x(\theta' e_k) < ord_x(\theta u_i) = ord_x w_f$ and $ord_\partial(\theta' e_k) < ord_\partial(\theta u_i) = ord_\partial w_f$.

Let $f' = f - c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} \theta g_i = f - c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} (lc_x(g_i)(w_f - S_i) + \theta g'_i) = f - c_f w_f + c_f S_i - c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} \theta g'_i$ where the G-leader of $c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} S_i - c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} \theta g'_i$ is less than θu_i, and therefore less than w_f, with respect to $<_x$. Clearly, f' does not contain w_f and $ord_\partial v_{f'} \le ord_\partial v_f$ (since $ord_\partial \theta g'_i \le ord_\partial \theta g'_i = ord_\partial \theta g_i = ord_\partial \left(\dfrac{w_f}{u_i} v_i\right) \le ord_\partial v_f$ and $ord_\partial S_i \le ord_\partial w_f \le ord_\partial v_f$). Furthermore, f' cannot contain any term w' such that $w_f <_x w'$, $u_i | w'$, and $ord_\partial \left(\dfrac{w_f}{u_i} v_i\right) \le ord_\partial v_{f'}$. Indeed, if such a term w' appears in f', then we would have $ord_\partial \left(\dfrac{w_f}{u_i} v_i\right) \le ord_\partial v_f$ (clearly, $ord_\partial v_{f'} \le ord_\partial v_f$ because, as we have seen, $ord_\partial \theta g_i = ord_\partial \left(\dfrac{w_f}{u_i} v_i\right) \le ord_\partial v_f$), so w' cannot appear in f by the choice of w_f. The term w' cannot appear in θg_i either, since $u_{\theta g_i} = w_f <_x w'$. Thus, w' cannot appear in $f' = f - c_f \left(lc_x(g_i)\right)^{-1} \theta g_i$, hence the G-leader $w_{f'}$ of f' is strictly less than w_f with respect to $<_x$. Applying the same procedure to f' and continuing in the same way we will obtain an element $g \in E$ such that $f - g \in \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{r} A_n(K) g_i$ and g is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to G. $\square$

The process of reduction described in the proof of Theorem 5.6 can be realized with the following algorithm.

Algorithm 4.7. *($f, r, g_1, \dots, g_r$; g)*

```
Input: $f \in E$, a positive integer $r$, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\} \subseteq E$ where $g_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$
Output: Elements $g \in E$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_r \in D$ such that $g = f - \sum_{i=1}^{r} Q_i g_i$ and $g$ is reduced with respect to $G$
Begin  $Q_1 := 0, \dots, Q_r := 0, g := f$
While there exist $i$, $1 \le i \le r$, and a term $w$, that appears in $g_i$ with a nonzero coefficient $c(w)$, such that $u_{g_i} | w$ and $ord_\partial(\frac{w}{u_{g_i}} v_{g_i}) \le ord_\partial v_g$ do
    $z$:= the greatest (with respect to $<_x$) term $w$ satisfying the above conditions.
    $k$:= the smallest number $i$ for which $u_{g_i}$ is the greatest (with respect to $<_x$) $x$-leader of an element $g_i \in G$ such that $u_{g_i} | z$ and $ord_\partial(\frac{z}{u_{g_i}} v_{g_i}) \le ord_\partial v_g$.
    $Q_k := Q_k + c(z) \left(lc_x(g_k)\right)^{-1} \frac{z}{u_{g_k}} g_k$;   $g := g - c(z) \left(lc_x(g_k)\right)^{-1} \frac{z}{u_{g_k}} g_k$.
```

The proof of Theorem 4.6 shows that if G is an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of an $A_n(K)$-submodule N of E, then the reduction step described in Definition 4.4 can be applied to every nonzero element of $f \in N$. As a result of such a step, we obtain an element of N whose G-leader is strictly less than the G-leader of f with respect to $<_x$. This observation leads to the following statement.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of an $A_n(K)$-submodule N of E. Then*

(i) *$f \in N$ if and only if $f \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{G} 0$.*

(ii) *If $f \in N$ and f is (x, ∂)-reduced with respect to G, then $f = 0$.*

Definition 4.9. *Let f and g be two elements in the free $A_n(K)$-module E. Let $\theta_f^{(x)} = \dfrac{lcm(u_f, u_g)}{u_f}$, $\theta_f^{(\partial)} = \dfrac{lcm(v_f, v_g)}{v_f}$, $\theta_g^{(x)} = \dfrac{lcm(u_f, u_g)}{u_g}$, and $\theta_g^{(\partial)} = \dfrac{lcm(v_f, v_g)}{v_g}$. Then the elements $S_x(f, g) = (lc_x(f))^{-1}\, \theta_f^{(x)} f - (lc_x(g))^{-1}\, \theta_g^{(x)} g$ and $S_\partial(f, g) = (lc_\partial(f))^{-1}\, \theta_f^{(\partial)} f - (lc_\partial(g))^{-1}\, \theta_g^{(\partial)} g$ are called the x-S-polynomial and ∂-S-polynomial of f and g, respectively.*

Theorem 4.10. *With the above notation, let $f, g_1, \dots, g_r \in E$ $(r \geq 1)$ and let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i \theta_i g_i$ where $\theta_i \in \Theta$, $c_i \in K$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Let $u_{\nu j} = lcm(u_{g_\nu}, u_{g_j})$ for any $\nu, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Furthermore, suppose that $\theta_1 u_{g_1} = \dots = \theta_r u_{g_r} = u$, $u_f <_x u$ and $\theta_i v_{g_i} \leq_\partial v_f$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then there exist elements $c_{\nu j} \in K$ $(1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t)$ such that $f = \sum_{\nu=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{\nu j} \theta_{\nu j} S_x(g_\nu, g_j)$ where $\theta_{\nu j} = \dfrac{u}{u_{\nu j}}$ and $\theta_{\nu j} u_{S_x(g_\nu, g_j)} <_x u$, $\theta_{\nu j} v_{S_x(g_\nu, g_j)} \leq_\partial v_f$ $(1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $lc_x(g_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then the inequality $u_f <_x u$ implies that $c_1 + \dots + c_r = 0$. Furthermore,

$$S_x(g_\nu, g_j) = \frac{u_{\nu j}}{u_{g_\nu}} g_\nu - \frac{u_{\nu j}}{u_{g_j}} g_j,$$

for any $\nu, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and for every $i = 2, \dots, r-1$ we have

$$\frac{u}{u_{g_i}} g_i = \frac{u}{u_{i-1,i}} \frac{u_{i-1,i}}{u_{g_i}} g_i = \frac{u}{u_{i,i+1}} \frac{u_{i,i+1}}{u_{g_i}} g_i.$$

Using these equalities and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{u_{g_1}} g_1 &= \frac{u}{u_{1,2}} \frac{u_{1,2}}{u_{g_1}} g_1, \\ \frac{u}{u_{g_r}} g_r &= \frac{u}{u_{r-1,r}} \frac{u_{r-1,r}}{u_{g_r}} g_r \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= c_1\lambda_1 g_1 + \cdots c_r\lambda_r f_r \\
&= c_1\frac{u}{u_{g_1}}g_1 + \cdots + c_r\frac{u}{u_{g_r}}g_r \\
&= c_1\theta_{1,2}\left(\frac{u_{1,2}}{u_{g_1}}g_1 - \frac{u_{1,2}}{u_{g_2}}g_2\right) \\
&\quad +(c_1+c_2)\theta_{2,3}\left(\frac{u_{2,3}}{u_{g_2}}g_2 - \frac{u_{2,3}}{u_{g_3}}g_3\right) + \cdots \\
&\quad +(c_1+\cdots+c_{r-1})\theta_{r-1,r}\left(\frac{u_{r-1,r}}{u_{g_{r-1}}}g_{r-1} - \frac{u_{r-1,r}}{u_{g_r}}g_r\right) \\
&\quad +(c_1+\cdots+c_r)\frac{u}{u_{g_r}} \\
&= c_1\theta_{1,2}S_x(g_1,g_2) + (c_1+c_2)\theta_{2,3}S_x(g_2,g_3) + \cdots \\
&\quad +(c_1+\cdots+c_{r-1})\theta_{r-1,r}S_x(g_{r-1},g_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{\theta_{i-1,i}S_x(g_{i-1},g_i)} <_x u$, and $v_{\theta_{i-1,i}S_x(g_{i-1},g_i)} \leq_\partial v_f$ for all $i = 2,\ldots,r$, we have the desired representation of f. $\square$

The following result provides the theoretical foundation for the algorithm for constructing (x,∂)-Gröbner bases.

Theorem 4.11. *With the above notation, let* $G = \{g_1,\ldots,g_r\}$ *be a Gröbner basis of an* $A_n(K)$*-submodule* N *of* E *with respect to the order* $<_\partial$*. Furthermore, suppose that* $S_x(g_i,g_j) \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{G} 0$ *for any* $g_i, g_j \in G$*. Then* G *is an* (x,∂)*-Gröbner basis of* N*.*

Proof. Notice that it is sufficient to prove that under the conditions of the theorem every element $f \in N$ can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i g_i \tag{4. 2}$$

where $h_1,\ldots,h_r \in A_n(K)$,

$$max_{<_x}\{u_{h_i}u_{g_i}\,|\,1 \leq i \leq r\} = u_f, \tag{4. 3}$$

(symbol $\max_{<_x}$ indicates that the maximum is taken with respect to the order $<_x$) and

$$ord_\partial(v_{h_i}v_{g_i}) \leq ord_\partial v_f. \tag{4. 4}$$

Indeed, with the notation of Definition 4.3, if the above conditions hold, then $\rho(f)$ is divisible by $\rho(g_i)$ where g_i gives the maximum value in the left-hand side of (4.3).

Let $f \in N$. Since G is a Gröbner basis with respect to $<_\partial$, one can write f as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} H_i g_i \tag{4. 5}$$

where $H_1, \dots, H_r \in A_n(K)$ and

$$max_{<_\partial}\{v_{H_i}v_{g_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\} = v_f, \tag{4. 6}$$

Let us choose among all representations of the form (4.5) with condition (4.6) a representation with the smallest with respect to $<_x$ possible term $u = \max_{<_x}\{u_{H_i}u_{g_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. Setting $d_i = lc_k(H_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and breaking the sum (4.5) in three parts we can write

$$f = \sum_{u_{H_i}u_{g_i}=u} d_i u_{H_i} g_i + \sum_{u_{H_i}u_{g_i}=u} (H_i - d_i u_{H_i}) g_i + \sum_{u_{H_i}u_{g_i}<_x u} H_i g_i\,. \tag{4. 7}$$

Note that if $u = u_f$, then the expression (4.7) satisfies conditions (4.2) - (4.4). Indeed, by (4.6) we have $v_f = \max_{<_\partial}\{v_{H_i}v_{g_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, hence $\max\{ord_\partial(v_{H_i}v_{g_i}) \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\} \leq ord_\partial v_f$. Suppose that $u_f <_x u$. Since $u = \max_{<_x}\{u_{H_i}u_{g_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, we have $u_{H_i - d_iH_i} <_x u$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ whence the x-leader of the second sum in (4.7) does not exceed u with respect to $<_x$. Furthermore, it is clear that $u_{H_i}u_{g_i} = u$ for any term in the sum

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{u_{H_i}u_{g_i}=u} d_i u_{H_i} g_i \tag{4. 8}$$

and $ord_\partial v_{\tilde{f}} \leq \max_{i \in I}\{ord_\partial(v_{H_i}v_{g_i})\} \leq ord_\partial v_f$ where I denotes the set of all indices $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ that appear in (4.8).

Let $u_{ij} = lcm(u_i, u_j)$ for any $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$, and let $\theta_{ij} = \dfrac{u}{u_{ij}} \in \Theta$ ($u_{ij}|u$, since $u = u_{H_i}u_{g_i}$ for every $i \in I$.) By Theorem 4.10, there exist elements $c_{ij} \in K$ such that

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\theta_{ij}S_x(g_i, g_j) \tag{4. 9}$$

where $u_{\theta_{ij}S_x(g_i,g_j)} <_x u_{\tilde{f}} = u$ and $ord_\partial v_{\theta_{ij}S_x(g_i,g_j)} \leq ord_\partial v_{\tilde{f}}$.

Since $S_x(g_i, g_j) \xrightarrow[x,\partial]{G} 0$, there exist $q_{\nu ij} \in A_n(K)$ such that $S_x(g_i, g_j) = \displaystyle\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} q_{\nu ij} g_\nu$ and $u_{q_{\nu ij}}u_{g_\nu} \leq_x u_{S_x(g_i,g_j)}$, $ord_\partial(v_{q_{\nu ij}}v_{g_\nu} \leq ord_\partial v_{S_x(g_i,g_j)}$.

Thus, for any indices i, j in sum (4.9), one has

$$\theta_{ij}S_x(g_i, g_j) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} (\theta_{ij}q_{\nu ij})g_\nu$$

where $u_{\theta_{ij}q_{\nu ij}}u_{g_\nu} = \theta_{ij}u_{q_{\nu ij}}u_{g_\nu} \leq_x \theta_{ij}u_{S_x(g_i,g_j)} <_x u$. It follows that

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} (\theta_{ij}q_{\nu ij})g_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\theta_{ij}q_{\nu ij} \right) g_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \tilde{H}_\nu g_\nu \tag{4. 10}$$

where $\tilde{H}_\nu = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\theta_{ij}q_{\nu ij}$ and $u_{\tilde{H}_\nu}u_{g_\nu} <_x u$ $(1 \leq \nu \leq r)$. Furthermore,

$ord_\partial(v_{\tilde{H}_\nu} v_{g_\nu}) \leq \max_{i,j}\{ord_\partial(\theta_{ij} q_{\nu ij} v_{g_\nu})\} \leq$
$\max_{i,j}\{\max\{ord_\partial\left(\theta_{ij}\frac{u_{ij}}{u_{g_i}}v_{g_i}\right), \left(\theta_{ij}\frac{u_{ij}}{u_{g_j}}v_{g_j}\right)\}\} =$
$\max_{i,j}\{\max\{ord_\partial\left(\frac{u}{u_{g_i}}v_{g_i}\right), ord_\partial\left(\frac{u}{u_{g_j}}v_{g_j}\right) \leq ord_\partial u =$
$ord_\partial u_{\tilde{f}} \leq ord_\partial v_{\tilde{f}}$, so that representation (4.10) satisfies the condition

$$ord_\partial(v_{\tilde{H}_\nu} v_{g_\nu}) \leq ord_\partial v_{\tilde{f}} \leq ord_\partial v_f \tag{4. 11}$$

for $\nu = 1, \dots, r$. Substituting (4.10) into (4.7) we obtain

$$f = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \tilde{H}_\nu g_\nu + \sum_{u_{H_i} u_{g_i} = u} (H_i - d_i u_{H_i}) g_i + \sum_{u_{H_j} u_{g_j} <_x u} H_j g_j \tag{4. 12}$$

where, denoting each $H_i - d_i u_{H_i}$ in the second sum by H'_i, we have the following conditions: $u_{\tilde{H}_\nu} u_{g_\nu} <_x u$ $(1 \leq \nu \leq r)$, $u_{H'_i} u_{g_i} <_x u$ for any term with index i in the second sum of (4.12), and $u_{H_j} u_{g_j} <_x u$ for any term with index j in the third sum of (4.12). We also have inequality (4.11) for the first sum, the inequality $ord_\partial(v_{H'_i} v_{g_i}) \leq ord_\partial(v_{H_i} v_{g_i}) \leq ord_\partial v_f$ (see (4.6)) for every index i in the second sum and the inequality $ord_\partial(v_{H_j} v_{g_j}) \leq ord_\partial v_f$ for every index j in the third sum of (4.12). Thus, (4.12) is a representation of f in the form (4.5) with condition (4.6) such that if one writes (4.12) as $f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{H}'_i g_i$ (combining the sums in (4.12)), then $\max_{<_x}\{u_{\tilde{H}'_1} u_{g_1}, \dots, u_{\tilde{H}'_r} u_{g_r}\} <_x u$ and one has condition (4.6). We have arrived at a contradiction with our choice of representation (4.5) with condition (4.6) and the smallest with respect to $<_x$ possible value of $u = \max\{u_{H_i} u_{g_i} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. Thus, every element $f \in N$ can be written in the form (4.2) with conditions (4.3) and (4.4). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The last theorem allows one to construct an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of an $A_n(K)$-submodule of E starting with the usual Gröbner basis of N with respect to the term order $<_\partial$.

5 Bivariate dimension polynomials of $A_n(K)$-modules and their invariants

In what follows we consider the ring $A_n(K)$ as a bifiltered ring with respect to the natural bifiltration $(W_{rs})_{r,s \in \mathbf{Z}}$ introduced at the beginning of the preceding section. Recall that $W_{rs} = 0$, if at least one of the numbers r, s is negative, and if $r \geq 0$, $s \geq 0$, then W_{rs} is a vector K-space generated by the set $\Theta(r, s) = \{\theta \in \Theta | ord_x \theta \leq r, ord_\partial \theta \leq s\}$. It follows from the third statement of Proposition 3.3 that $dim_K W_{rs} = Card\, \Theta(r, s) = \binom{r+n}{m}\binom{s+n}{n}$ for any $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 5.1. *Let M be a module over a Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$. A bisequence $(M_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}}$ of vector K-subspaces of the module M is called a* bifiltration *of M if the following three conditions hold:*

(i) *If $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ is fixed, then $M_{rs} \subseteq M_{r,s+1}$ for all $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $M_{rs} = 0$ for all sufficiently small $s \in \mathbf{Z}$. Similarly, if $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ is fixed, then $M_{rs} \subseteq M_{r+1,s}$ for all $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $M_{rs} = 0$ for all sufficiently small $r \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

(ii) $\bigcup\{M_{rs} | r, s \in \mathbf{Z}\} = M$.

(iii) $W_{kl}M_{rs} \subseteq M_{r+k,s+l}$ *for any* $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$.

Example 5.2. *Let M be a finitely generated $A_n(K)$-module with generators $f_1, \dots, f_m$. Then the vector K-spaces $M_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{rs}f_i$ ($r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$) form a bifiltration of the module M. This bifiltration is called a* natural bifiltration *of M associated with the system of generators $f_1, \dots, f_m$. It is easy to see that every component M_{rs} of the this bifiltration is a finitely generated vector K-space and $W_{kl}M_{rs} = M_{r+k,s+l}$ for any $r, s, k, l \in \mathbf{N}$.*

In what follows we use the properties of (x, ∂)-Gröbner bases to prove the existence and obtain a method of computation of bivariate dimension polynomials of finitely generated $A_n(K)$-modules. The following result can be considered as the main step in this direction.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a finitely generated $A_n(K)$-module with a system of generators $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$, E a free $A_n(K)$-module with a basis $e_1, \dots, e_m$, and $\pi : E \longrightarrow M$ the natural $A_n(K)$-epimorphism of E onto M ($\pi(e_i) = f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$). Furthermore, let $N = Ker\,\pi$ and let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ be an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of N. Finally, for any $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, let $M_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{rs}f_i$, and let U_{rs} denote the set $\{w \in \Theta e | ord_x w \leq r, ord_\partial w \leq s$, and either w is not a multiple of any u_{g_i} ($1 \leq i \leq d$) or $ord_\partial(\theta v_{g_j}) > s$ for any $\theta \in \Theta, g_j \in G$ such that $w = \theta u_{g_j}\}$. Then $\pi(U_{rs})$ is a basis of the vector K-space M_{rs}.*

Proof. Let us prove, first, that every element θf_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$, $\theta \in \Theta(r, s)$), that does not belong to $\pi(U_{rs})$, can be written as a finite linear combination of elements of $\pi(U_{rs})$ with coefficients in K (so that the set $\pi(U_{rs})$ generates the vector K-space M_{rs}). Since $\theta f_i \notin \pi(U_{rs})$, $\theta e_i \notin U_{rs}$ whence $\theta e_i = \theta' u_{g_j}$ for some $\theta' \in \Theta$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, such that $ord_\partial(\theta' v_{g_j}) \leq s$. Let us consider the element $g_j = a_j u_{g_j} + \dots$ ($a_j \in K, a_j \neq 0$), where dots are placed instead of the other terms that appear in g_j (obviously, those terms are less than u_{g_j} with respect to the order $<_x$). Since $g_j \in N = Ker\,\pi$, $\pi(g_j) = a_j\pi(u_{g_j}) + \dots = 0$, whence $\pi(\theta' g_j) = a_j\pi(\theta' u_{g_j}) + \dots = a_j\pi(\theta e_i) + \dots = a_j\theta f_i + \dots = 0$, so that θf_i is a finite linear combination with coefficients in K of some elements $\tilde{\theta} f_k$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$) such that $\tilde{\theta} \in \Theta(r, s)$ and $\tilde{\theta} e_k <_x \theta' u_{g_j}$. ($ord_x\tilde{\theta} \leq r$, since $\tilde{\theta} e_k <_x \theta e_i$ and $\theta \in \Theta(r, s)$; $ord_\partial\tilde{\theta} \leq s$, since $\tilde{\theta} e_k \leq_\partial v_{\theta' g_j} = \theta' v_{g_j}$ and $ord_\partial(\theta' v_{g_j}) \leq s$). Thus, we can apply the induction on θe_j ($\theta \in \Theta, 1 \leq j \leq p$) with respect to the order $<_x$ and obtain that every element θf_i ($\theta \in \Theta(r, s), 1 \leq j \leq m$) can be written as a finite linear combination of elements of $\pi(U_{rs})$ with coefficients from the field K.

Now, let us prove that the set $\pi(U_{rs})$ is linearly independent over K. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i\pi(u_i) = 0$ for some $u_1, \dots, u_q \in U_{rs}$, $a_1, \dots, a_q \in K$. Then $h =$

$\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i u_i$ is an element of N (x,∂)-reduced with respect to G. Indeed, if an element $u = \theta e_j$ appears in h (so that $u = u_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, q$), then either u is not a multiple of any u_{g_j} $(1 \leq j \leq d)$ or $u = \theta u_{g_k}$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, such that $ord_{\partial}(\theta v_{g_k}) > s \geq ord_{\partial} v_h$ (since v_h is one of the elements $u_1, \dots, u_q$ that lie in U_{rs}). Applying Theorem 4.8 we obtain that $h = 0$, whence $a_1 = \dots = a_q = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 5.3 leads to the following existence theorem, which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a finitely generated $A_n(K)$-module with a system of generators $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ and let $(M_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}}$ be the corresponding natural bifiltration of M ($M_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} W_{rs} f_i$ for $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$.) Then there exists a numerical polynomial $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$ in two variables t_1, t_2 such that*

(i) *$\phi_M(r,s) = dim_K M_{rs}$ for all sufficiently large $(r,s) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$. (It means that there exist $r_0, s_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that the equality holds for all $r \geq r_0$, $s \geq s_0$.)*

(ii) *$deg_{t_1} \phi_M(t_1,t_2) \leq n$ and $deg_{t_2} \phi_M(t_1,t_2) \leq n$, so that $deg\, \phi_M(t_1,t_2) \leq 2n$ and the polynomial $\phi_M(t_1,t_2)$ can be represented as*

$$\phi(t_1,t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{ij} \binom{t_1+i}{i} \binom{t_2+j}{j} \qquad (5.\ 13)$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all i, j.

Proof. Let E be a free $A_n(K)$-module with a basis $e_1, \dots, e_m$, let N be the kernel of the natural epimorphism $\pi : E \longrightarrow M$, and let the set U_{rs} $(r, s \in \mathbf{N})$ be the same as in the conditions of Theorem 5.3. Furthermore, let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ be an (x,∂)-Gröbner basis of N. By Theorem 5.3, for any $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, $\pi(U_{rs})$ is a basis of the vector K-space M_{rs}. Therefore, $dim_K M_{rs} = Card\, \pi(U_{rs}) = Card\, U_{rs}$. (It was shown in the second part of the proof of Theorem 5.3 that the restriction of the mapping π on U_{rs} is bijective.)

Let $U'_{rs} = \{w \in U_{rs} |\ w$ is not a multiple of any element u_{g_i} $(1 \leq i \leq d)\}$ and $U''_{rs} = \{w \in U_{rs} |\ w = \theta u_{g_j}$ for some g_j $(1 \leq j \leq d)$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $ord_{\partial}(\theta v_{g_j}) > s\}$. Then $U_{rs} = U'_{rs} \bigcup U''_{rs}$ and $U'_{rs} \bigcap U''_{rs} = \emptyset$, whence $Card\, U_{rs} = Card\, U'_{rs} + Card\, U''_{rs}$.

By Proposition 3.3, there exists a numerical polynomial $\omega(t_1,t_2)$ in two variables t_1 and t_2 such that $\omega(r,s) = Card\, U'_{rs}$ for all sufficiently large $(r,s) \in \mathbf{N}^2$. In order to express $Card\, U''_{rs}$ in terms of r and s, let us set $a_i = ord_x u_{g_i}$, $b_i = ord_{\partial} u_{g_i}$, $c_i = ord_{\partial} v_{g_i}$, $a_{ij} = ord_x lcm(u_{g_i}, u_{g_j})$, $b_{ij} = ord_{\partial} lcm(u_{g_i}, u_{g_j})$, $a_{ijk} = ord_x lcm(u_{g_i}, u_{g_j}, u_{g_k})$, $b_{ijk} = ord_{\partial} lcm(u_{g_i}, u_{g_j}, u_{g_k})$, $\dots$ $(1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq d)$. Then $U''_{rs} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i) \setminus \Theta(r-a_i, s-c_i)] u_{g_i}\}$. By the combinatorial principle of inclusion and exclusion (see [5, Chapter 5, Theorem 5.1.1]),

$$Card\, U''_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} Card\, \{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i) \setminus \Theta(r-a_i, s-c_i)] u_{g_i}\} -$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} Card\, \{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i) \setminus \Theta(r-a_i, s-c_i)] u_{g_i} \bigcap [\Theta(r-a_j, s-b_j) \setminus$$

$\Theta(r-a_j, s-c_j)]u_{g_j}\} + \sum\limits_{1\le i<j<k\le d} Card\,\{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i)\setminus\Theta(r-a_i, s$

$-c_i)]u_{g_i}\bigcap[\Theta(r-a_j, s-b_j)\setminus\Theta(r-a_j, s-c_j)]u_{g_j}\bigcap[\Theta(r-a_k, s-b_k)$

$\setminus\Theta(r-a_k, s-c_k)]u_{g_k}\} - \dots.$

Furthermore, for any two different elements $g_i, g_j \in \Sigma$, we have

$Card\,\{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i)\setminus\Theta(r-a_i, s-c_i)]u_{g_i}\bigcap[\Theta(r-a_j, s-b_j)\setminus\Theta(r-a_j,$

$s-c_j)]u_{g_j}\} = Card\,\{\theta\, lcm(u_{g_i}, u_{g_j})|\theta\in\Theta, ord_x\theta\le r-a_{ij},\ ord_\partial\theta\le s-b_{ij},$

$ord_\partial(\theta\frac{lcm(u_{g_i},u_{g_j})}{u_{g_i}}v_{g_i}) = ord_\partial\theta + b_{ij} - b_i + c_i > s$ and $ord_\partial\theta + b_{ij} - b_i + c_i > s\}$

$= Card\,\{\theta|\theta\in\Theta, ord_x\theta\le r-a_{ij}, ord_\partial\theta\le s-b_{ij}$ and

$ord_\partial\theta > s - \min\{c_i + b_{ij} - a_i, c_j + b_{ij} - a_j\} =$

$$\binom{r+n-a_{ij}}{m}\left[\binom{s+n-b_{ij}}{n} - \binom{s+n-\min\{c_i+b_{ij}-b_i, c_j+b_{ij}-b_j\}}{n}\right]$$

Similarly, for any three different elements $g_i, g_j, g_k \in \Sigma$ we obtain that

$Card\,\{[\Theta(r-a_i, s-b_i)\setminus\Theta(r-a_i, s-c_i)]u_{g_i}\bigcap[\Theta(r-a_j, s-b_j)\setminus\Theta(r-a_j, s-$
$c_j)]u_{g_j}\bigcap[\Theta(r-a_k, s-b_k)\setminus\Theta(r-a_k, s-c_k)]u_{g_k}\} = \binom{r+n-a_{ijk}}{n}[\binom{s+n-b_{ijk}}{n}$
$-\binom{s+n-\min\{c_i+b_{ijk}-b_i, c_j+b_{ijk}-b_j, c_k+b_{ijk}-b_k\}}{n}]$ and so on.

Thus, for all sufficiently large $(r, s)\in\mathbf{N}^2$, $Card\,U''_{rs} = \bar{\omega}(r, s)$ where $\bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2)$ is the following numerical polynomial:

$$\bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\binom{t_1+n-a_i}{n}\left[\binom{t_2+n-b_i}{n} - \binom{t_2+n-c_i}{n}\right] -$$

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le d}\binom{t_1+n-a_{ij}}{n}\left[\binom{t_2+n-b_{ij}}{n} - \binom{t_2+n-\min\{c_i+b_{ij}-b_i, c_j+b_{ij}-b_j\}}{n}\right]$$

$$+\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le d}\binom{t_1+n-a_{ijk}}{n}[\binom{t_2+n-b_{ijk}}{n} -$$

$$\binom{t_2+n-\min\{c_i+b_{ijk}-b_i, c_j+b_{ijk}-b_j, c_k+b_{ijk}-b_k\}}{n}] - \dots \qquad (5.\ 14)$$

It is clear now that the numerical polynomial $\phi_M(t_1, t_2) = \omega(t_1, t_2) + \bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2)$ has all the desired properties. □

Definition 5.5. *Numerical polynomial $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$, whose existence is established by Theorem* 5.4 *, is called a characteristic polynomial of the module M associated with the system of generators $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ (or with the bifiltration $(M_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{N}}$.)*

Example 5.6. With the notation of Theorem 5.4, let $n = 1$ and let an $A_1(K)$-module M be generated by a single element f that satisfies the defining equation $x^2f + \partial^2 f + x\partial f = 0$. In other words, M is a factor module of a free $A_1(K)$-module $E = A_1(K)e$ with a free generator e by its $A_1(K)$-submodule $N = A_1(K)g$ where $g = (x^2 + \partial^2 + x\partial)e$. Clearly, $\{g\}$ is an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of N. Applying Proposition 3.5 (and using the notation of Theorem 5.4), we obtain that $u_g = x^2e$, $v_g = \partial^2 e$, and

$$\omega(t_1, t_2) = \omega_{(2,0)}(t_1, t_2) = \binom{t_1+1}{1}\binom{t_2+1}{1} - \binom{t_1+1-2}{1}\binom{t_2+1}{1} = 2t_2 + 2.$$

Furthermore, formula (5.14) shows that

$$\bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2) = \binom{t_1+1-2}{1}\left[\binom{t_2+1}{1} - \binom{t_2+1-2}{1}\right] = 2t_1 - 2.$$

Thus, the characteristic polynomial of the module M associated with the generator f is as follows:

$$\phi_M(t_1, t_2) = \omega(t_1, t_2) + \bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2) = 2t_1 + 2t_2.$$

Theorem 5.7. *Let M be a finitely generated $A_n(K)$-module and let*

$$\phi_M(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{ij}\binom{t_1+i}{i}\binom{t_2+j}{j}$$

be a characteristic polynomial associated with some finite system of generators $\{g_1, \dots, g_p\}$ of M. (We write $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$ in the form (3.1) with integer coefficients $a_{ij}, 1 \le i, j \le n$.) Furthermore, let $\Lambda = \{(i, j) \in \mathbf{N}^2 \mid 0 \le i, j \le n$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0\}$, and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$ be the maximal elements of the set Λ relative to the lexicographic and reverse lexicographic orders on $\mathbf{N}^2$, respectively. Then $d = deg\,\phi_M$, a_{nn}, μ, ν, the coefficients a_{mn}, a_{μ_1,μ_2} a_{ν_1,ν_2} of the polynomial $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$, and the coefficients of all terms of $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$of total degree d do not depend on the finite system of generators of the $A_n(K)$-module M this polynomial is associated with.

Proof. Let $\{h_1, \dots, h_q\}$ be another finite system of generators of the $A_n(K)$-module M and let $(M_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}}$ and $(M'_{rs})_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}}$ be the the natural bifiltrations associated with the systems of generators $\{g_1, \dots, g_p\}$ and $\{h_1, \dots, h_q\}$, respectively. ($M_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} W_{rs}g_i$ and $M'_{rs} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} W_{rs}h_i$ for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, $M_{rs} = 0$ and $M'_{rs} = 0$, if at least one of the indices r, s is negative.) Furthermore, let $\phi^*_M(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}\binom{t_1+i}{i}\binom{t_2+j}{j}$ ($b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n; j = 0, \dots, n$) be the characteristic polynomial associated with the system $\{h_1, \dots, h_q\}$, let $\Lambda' = \{(i, j) \in \mathbf{N}^2 \mid 0 \le i, j \le n$, and $b_{ij} \neq 0\}$, and let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$

be the maximal elements of Λ' relative to the lexicographic and reverse lexicographic orders on $\mathbf{N}^2$, respectively. In order to prove the theorem, we should show that $\mu = \sigma$, $\nu = \epsilon$, $a_{nn} = b_{nn}$, $a_{\mu_1\mu_2} = b_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}$, and $a_{\nu_1\nu_2} = b_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}$.

Since $\bigcup_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}} M_{rs} = \bigcup_{r,s\in\mathbf{Z}} M'_{rs} = M$, there exist elements $r_0, s_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $M_{rs} \subseteq M'_{r+r_0,s+s_0}$ and $M'_{rs} \subseteq M_{r+r_0,s+s_0}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$. It follows that $\phi_M(r,s) \le \phi^*_M(r+r_0, s+s_0)$ and $\phi^*_M(r,s) \le \phi(r+r_0, s+s_0)$ for all sufficiently large $r, s \in \mathbf{N}$, say for all $r \ge r_1, s \ge s_1$ where r_1 and s_1 are some positive integers. Therefore, $deg\,\phi_M = deg\,\phi^*_M$, $a_{nn} = (n!)^2 \lim\limits_{r\to\infty,s\to\infty} \dfrac{\phi_M(r,s)}{r^m s^n} \le (n!)^2 \lim\limits_{r\to\infty,s\to\infty} \dfrac{\phi^*_M(r+r_0,s+s_0)}{r^n s^n} = (n!)^2 \lim\limits_{r\to\infty,s\to\infty} \dfrac{\phi^*_M(r,s)}{r^n s^n} = b_{nn}$ and similarly $b_{nn} \le a_{nn}$, so that $b_{nn} = a_{nn}$.

If $a_{nn} \ne 0$, then $(n,n) \in \Lambda$ and $(n,n) \in \Lambda'$ hence $\mu = \nu = \sigma = \epsilon = (n,n)$ and $a_{\mu_1\mu_2} = a_{\nu_1\nu_2} = b_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = b_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} = a_{nn} = b_{nn}$. Suppose that $a_{nn} = 0$. Then $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \ne (n,n)$, $a_{\mu_1\mu_2} \ne 0$, and the coefficient of the monomial $t_1^{\mu_1} t_2^{\mu_2}$ in the polynomial $\phi_M(t_1,t_2)$ is equal to $\dfrac{a_{\mu_1\mu_2}}{\mu_1!\mu_2!}$.

Let $s \in \mathbf{N}$, $s \ge s_1$, and let e be a positive integer such that $s^e \ge r_1$. By the choice of the elements μ and σ, $\phi_M(s^e, s) = \dfrac{a_{\mu_1\mu_2}}{\mu_1!\mu_2!} s^{e\mu_1+\mu_2} + o(s^{e\mu_1+\mu_2})$ and $\phi^*_M(s^e, s) = \dfrac{b_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}}{\sigma_1!\sigma_2!} s^{e\sigma_1+\sigma_2} + o(s^{e\sigma_1+\sigma_2})$ for all sufficiently large values of e. Since $\phi_M(s^e, s) \le \phi^*(s^e+p, s+q) = \dfrac{b_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}}{\sigma_1!\sigma_2!} s^{e\sigma_1+\sigma_2} + o(s^{e\sigma_1+\sigma_2})$ and $\phi^*_M(s^e, s) \le \phi(s^e+p, s+q) = \dfrac{a_{\mu_1\mu_2}}{\mu_1!\mu_2!} s^{e\mu_1+\mu_2} + o(s^{e\mu_1+\mu_2})$ for all $s \ge s_0$, we conclude that $e\mu_1 + \mu_2 = e\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ for all sufficiently large $e \in \mathbf{N}$ and the coefficients of the power $s^{e\mu_1+\mu_2}$ in the polynomials $\phi_M(t_1,t_2)$ and $\phi^*_M(t_1,t_2)$ are equal. Therefore, $\mu_1 = \sigma_1$, $\mu_2 = \sigma_2$ and $a_{\mu_1\mu_2} = b_{\mu 1\mu_2}$. The equalities $\nu_1 = \epsilon_1$, $\nu_2 = \epsilon_2$ and $a_{\nu_1\nu_2} = b_{\nu_1\nu_2}$, as well as the equality of coefficients of total degree d of can be proved similarly. $\square$

It is clear that if $(W_r)_{r\in\mathbf{Z}}$ is the one-dimensional filtration of the Weyl algebra $A_n(K)$ introduced in Section 2, then $W_r \subseteq D_{rr} \subseteq W_{2r}$ for all $r \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, if $\phi_M(t_1,t_2)$ and $\psi_M(t)$ denote, respectively, our characteristic polynomial and the Bernstein polynomial associated with the same finite system of generators of an $A_n(M)$-module M, then $\psi_M(r) \le \phi_M(r,r) \le \psi_M(2r)$ for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that $n \le deg\,\psi_M(t) = deg\,\phi_M(t_1,t_2) \le 2n$ and $M \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if $deg\,\phi_M(t_1,t_2) = n$.

The following example shows that a characteristic polynomial $\phi_M(t_1,t_2)$ of a finitely generated $A_n(K)$-module M can carry more invariants (i. e., numbers that do not depend on the choice of a system of generators the characteristic polynomial is associated with) than the Bernstein polynomial $\psi_M(t)$.

Example 5.8. With the notation of Theorem 5.4, let an $A_1(K)$-module M be generated by a single element f that satisfies the defining equation

$$x^a \partial^b f + \partial^{a+b} f = 0 \tag{5. 15}$$

where a and b are some positive integers. In other words, M is a factor module of a free $A_1(K)$-module $E = A_1(K)e$ with a free generator e by its $A_1(K)$-submodule $N = A_1(K)g$ where $g = (x^a + \partial^2 + x\partial)e$. Obviously, $\{g\}$ is an (x, ∂)-Gröbner basis of the module N. Since $u_g = x^a\partial^b e$ and $v_g = \partial^{a+b}e$, we obtain (using the notation of Theorem 5.4) that $\omega(t_1, t_2) = \omega_{\{(a,b)\}}(t_1, t_2) = \binom{t_1+1}{1}\binom{t_2+1}{1} - \binom{t_1+1-a}{1}\binom{t_2+1-b}{1} = bt_1 + at_2 + a + b - ab$. Furthermore, formula (5.14) shows that $\bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2) = \binom{t_1+1-a}{1}[\binom{t_2+1-b}{1} - \binom{t_2+1-(a+b)}{1}] = at_1 + a(1-a)$. Thus, the characteristic polynomial of the module M associated with the generator f is as follows: $\phi_M(t_1, t_2) = \omega(t_1, t_2) + \bar{\omega}(t_1, t_2) = (a+b)t_1 + at_2 + 2a + b - ab - a^2$.

The Bernstein polynomial $\psi_M(t)$ associated with the generator f of the $A_1(K)$-module M can be obtained from the exact sequence of finitely generated filtered modules

$$0 \longrightarrow F^{a+b} \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\pi} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where M and E are equipped, respectively, with the filtrations $(W_r e)_{r \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $(W_r f)_{r \in \mathbf{Z}}$ defined in Section 2, and F^{a+b} is a free filtered $A_1(K)$-module with a single free generator h and filtration $(W_{r-(a+b)}h)_{r \in \mathbf{Z}}$. (Here π denotes the natural $A_1(K)$-epimorphism of E onto M that maps e onto f, and α is the natural $A_1(K)$-epimorphism of the free filtered module F^{a+b} onto the $A_1(K)$-module $N \subseteq M$ equipped with the filtration $(W_r g)_{r \in \mathbf{Z}}$, $\alpha(h) = g$.)

Since $dim_K W_r = Card\{x^i\partial^j | i + j \leq r\} = \binom{r+2}{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbf{N}$, $dim_K(W_{r-(a+b)}h) = \binom{r+2-(a+b)}{2}$ for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ whence

$\psi_M(r) = dim_K(W_r e) - dim_K(W_{r-(a+b)}h) = \binom{r+2}{2} - \binom{r+2-(a+b)}{2}$ for all sufficiently large $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, $\psi_M(t) = \binom{t+2}{2} - \binom{t+2-(a+b)}{2} = (a+b)t - \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{2}$.

Comparing the polynomials $\psi_M(t)$ and $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$ we see that the first polynomial carries two invariants, its degree 1 and the leading coefficient $a + b$, while $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$ carries three such invariants, its total degree 1, $a + b$, and a. Thus, $\phi_M(t_1, t_2)$ gives both parameters a and b of the equation (5.2) while the Bernstein polynomial $\psi_M(t)$ gives just the sum of the parameters.

Acknowledgements

The first author's research was partially supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK11 and project no. P20336-N18 (DIFFOP) as well as the Austrian Marshall Plan Foundation: scholarship no. 256 420 24 7 2011.

The second author's research was supported by the NSF Grant CCF 1016608

References

[Adams, Loustaunau(1994)] Adams, W.; Loustaunau, P., 1994. An Introduction to Gröbner Bases. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence.

[Kondrateva, Levin, Mikhalev, Pankratev(1999)] Kondrateva, M. V.; Levin, A. B.; Mikhalev, A. V.; Pankratev, E. V., 1999. Differential and Difference Dimension Polynomials. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

REFERENCES

[**1**] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, *Gröbner Bases. A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993).

[**2**] I. N. Bernstein, 'Modules over the ring of differential operators. A study of the fundamental solutions of equations with constant coefficients', *Funct. Anal. and its Appl.* Vol. 5 (1971), 89 - 101.

[**3**] I. N. Bernstein, 'The analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter', *Funct. Anal. and its Appl.* Vol. 6 (1972), 273 - 285.

[**4**] J.- E. Björk, *Rings of Differential Operators* (North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, 1979).

[**5**] P. J. Cameron, *Combinatorics. Topics, Techniques, Algorithms* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).

[**6**] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1995).

[**7**] M. Insa and F.Pauer, 'Gröbner Bases in Rings of Differential Operators', *Gröbner Bases and Applications* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1998), 367 - 380.

[**8**] E. R. Kolchin, *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1973).

[**9**] M. V. Kondrateva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev, and E. V. Pankratev, 'Computation of Dimension Polynomials', *Internat. J. Algebra and Comput* Vol. 2 (1992), 117 - 137.

[**10**] M. V. Kondrateva, A. B. Levin, A. V. Mikhalev, and E. V. Pankratev, *Differential and Difference Dimension Polynomials* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1999).